GENETIC VARIABILITY AND CHARACTER ASSOCIATION STUDIES IN GOSSYPIUM HERBACEUM COTTON UNDER COASTAL SALINE SOILS OF PRAKASHAM DISTRICT

N.CHAMUNDESWARI, J.SATEESH BABU, K.SIVA REDDY, S.RATNA KUMARI, J.S.V.SAMBA MURHTY

Abstract: Eleven promising *Gossypium herbaceum* cultivars were used to study genetic variability, character association and path analysis between yield and its contributing traits under saline soils in two environments. Irrespective of the environment high phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation, coupled with high heritability and high genetic advance in seed cotton yield, lint yield, number of sympodia, number of bolls per plant indicated the predominance of additive gene effects in controlling them. Difference in GCV estimates over the environments was found to be good aid to find out favourable environment to exploit genotypic variation and to exercise selection for improvement of traits. In both the environments seed cotton yield was found positively associated with number of sympodia, number of bolls per plant, boll weight, mean halo length and lint yield. However path analysis revealed that lint yield exerted maximum positive direct effect on yield and positive indirect effects via above traits.

Introduction: Gossypium herbaceum cottons are known for their inbuilt tolerance to drought, pest and disease tolerance. They are being grown in small pockets of Andhra Pradesh in poor soils under neglected management. Of late the species has been exploited in coastal saline soils of Gujarat. So under NATP CES Herbaceum project a study was conducted in Konanki and Uppugunduru villages of Prakasham district to exploit its potential under coastal saline soils of Andhra Pradesh. So development of high yielding genotypes under such situations requires a thorough knowledge of existing genetic variation and extent of association of yield contributing characters. The observed variability is a conserved estimate of genetic and environmental causes and the genetic variability alone is heritable. Correlation and path analysis will establish the extent of association between yield and yield components and bring out relative importance of their direct and indirect effects and thus give a clear understanding of their association with seed cotton yield, with intent to develop genotypes to the particular condition. The present study was undertaken to get information on constants character above genetic the and association.

Materials and methods: Eleven promising genotypes of *Gossypium herbaceum* developed across the country were grown in a randomized block design with three replications at two locations i.e., Konanki (E_1) and Uppugunduru (E_2) villages in farmers fields of Prakasham district, Andhra Pradesh under saline conditions. Each genotype was sown in four rows of six meters length spaced at 60x30cm apart. Five randomly selected plants were chosen in each replication and observations were recorded on plant height (cm), number of monopodia per plant,

number of sympodia per plant, number of bolls per plant, boll weight (g), seed index (g), lint index (g), ginning out turn (%), mean halo length (mm), lint yield (kg/ha) and seed cotton yield (kg/ha). Standard statistical procedures were followed for estimating genetic components, correlations and path coefficients (Singh and Choudhary, 1977).

Results and discussion: Phenotypic and genotypic correlations of variation are presented in table 1. Although the influence of environment of each trait could be determined by the difference in phenotypic coefficient of variation and genotypic coefficient of variation the difference between genotypic coefficient of variation estimates across the environments might indicate more precisely the influence on the genetic variability (Johnson et al 1955). Irrespective of environment the differences between phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) were low for seed cotton yield and lint yield. The direction in shift in genotypic coefficient of variation estimates of E₁ from E₂ for all the characters indicated that there was considerable increase in genotypic variation of these traits at E1 as compared to E2. Greater expression of genotypic variation might be considered as favorable environment to express the selection for the improvement of these traits in E1 situation. High variability values were observed for the traits seed cotton yield, lint yield, number of sympodia per plant, number of bolls per plant, seed index and lint index in both the environments. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was observed in both the environments for seed cotton yield, lint yield, number of sympodia per plant, number of bolls per plant, indicated the preponderance of additive gene action in controlling them. So pure line

selection may be rewarding for improvement of these traits. These results are in broad agreement with Choudhari *et al* (1988), Aher *et al*. (1989), Tomar and Singh (1991), Patel *et al* (1996) and Yadav *et al* (2000) in arboreum cotton.

Phenotypic correlation coefficients between yield and related components for both the environments are presented in table 2. In general the magnitude of associations were not similar but trend was same for all most all the traits. This may be due to modifying effect of environment on association of characters. In both the environments seed cotton yield was found to be positively and significantly associated with number of sympodia per plant, number of bolls per plant, boll weight, mean halo length and lint yield. This is in conformity with Aher et al. (1989), Tomar and Singh (1991) in arboreum cotton. The other character pairs which showed positive and significant association at both the environments are plant height with boll weight, number of sympodia per plant with number of bolls per plant, boll weight, mean halo length, lint yield; number of bolls with boll weight, mean halo length, lint yield; boll weight with mean halo length and lint yield; seed index with lint index, mean halo length; lint index with mean halo length; mean halo length with lint yield.

However in addition to the above at E_1 seed cotton yield was positively and significantly associated with plant height while in E_2 with seed index, and ginning out turn. In E_1 plant height was found to be positively and significantly associated with number of sympodia

References:

- 1. Aher, R.P., Sanapa,M.M. and Thete, R.Y. 1989. Genetic parameters and correlation coefficient studies in deshi varieties of cotton. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ., 14(1): 63-64.
- Choudhari, P.N., Borole,D.N., Patil,S.D. and Narkhede,B.N. 1988. Path analysis in deshi cotton. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ., 13(1): 54-55.
- 3. Murthy,J.S.V.S., Ratna Kumari, S. and Chamundeswari, N. 2004. Genetic variation in yield and yield component in desi cotton (*Gossypium hebaceum* L.) J.Cotton Res. Devt., 18(1): 36-37.

per plant, number of bolls per plant, mean halo length and lint yield. Significant and positive association on number of monopodia with seed index and lint index was observed in E1. At E2 number of sympodia was found positively associated with seed index and negatively with ginning out turn at E_1 . At E_1 boll weight was found positively associated with seed index and negatively with ginning out turn. Significant and positive association was observed between seed index and lint yield at E2 while in negative direction with ginning out turn at E_{μ} Ginning out turn and mean halo length in negative direction at E₁ and with lint yield in positive direction at E₂. These results indicated that the association between different traits differed with environment and hence the correlation response of different traits to section may vary with the environments.

Path analysis (tabe 3) revealed that in both the environments lint yield exhibited high direct effect in both the environments confirming their strong phenotypic correlation coefficients with seed cotton yield. Number of sympodia, number of bolls per plant, boll weight which showed high positive correlation with seed cotton yield, had low effect on yield and exhibited positive indirect effects via lint yield. This shows that while aiming for improvement of seed cotton yield due weightage may be given for lint yield with simultaneous selection for the above component traits.

- 4. Patel, U.G., Patel, J.C., Patel, N.N. and Pate, A.D.1996. Variability parameters in diploid cotton. Gujarat Agric. Univ. Res. J., 2291): 9-12.
- 5. Singh,R.k. and Choudhary, B.D. 1977. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi-Ludiana.
- 6. Tomar, S.K. and S.P.Singh 1991. Genetic variability and association of characters in tree cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.). Indian J. Agrl. Sci., 61(4): 255-8.
- 7. Yadav,O.P, B.P.S. Lather and B.N. Dahliya. 2000. Studies on variability, correlation and path analysis in desi cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.). J.Cotton. Res. Devt., 14(2): 143-146.

	1											
S.	Source		Range		Mean	GCV	PCV	PCV-	GCV-	Variab	GA as	
N O			Maxi Mini mum mu m			(%)	(%)	GCV	PCV (E1-E2)	ility (Broad sense)	% of mean	
1	Plant height (cm)	E ₁ E ₂	83.00 80.60	114.6 132.7	94.42 104.40	10.49 16.43	13.67 19.30	3.18 2.87	-5.94	72.5 58.9	28.81 16.59	
2	No. of monopo dia	E ₁ E ₂	0.80 1.00	1.40 1.36	1.11 1.19	12.48 9.84	21.71 15.75	9.23 5.91	-2.64	33.1 39.1	14.78 12.68	
3	No. of sympodi a	E_1 E_2	6.80 6.26	13.36 11.40	9.96 8.40	21.99 20.88	23.44 21.99	1.45 1.11	-1.11	88.0 90.1	42.50 40.84	
4	No. of bolls per plant	E ₁ E ₂	1.84 2.50	11.97 6.78	6.47 4.02	45.94 31.92	49.07 34.43	3.13 2.51	-14.02	87.6 56.0	88.60 60.97	
5	Boll weight (g)	E ₁ E ₂	1.65 1.72	2.06 2.01	1.83 1.87	6.10 4.24	8.23 6.69	2.13 2.45	-1.86	54.9 40.2	9.30 5.54	
6	Seed index (g)	E ₁ E ₂	5.62 5.33	7.45 6.86	6.09 5.77	8.88 8.06	10.36 8.68	1.48 0.62	-0.82	73.4 86.3	15.67 15.43	
7	Lint index (g)	E ₁ E ₂	3.53 3.50	4.88 4.54	3.93 3.79	11.28 7.22	11.80 8.65	0.52 1.43	-4.06	91.3 69.6	22.21 12.41	
8	Ginning out turn (%)	E ₁ E ₂	32.00 32.20	34.06 33.33	33.27 32.79	1.46 0.51	2.65 2.03	1.19 1.52	-0.95	30.5 6.4	1.67 0.26	
9	Mean halo length mm)	E ₁ E ₂	21.23 20.40	25.00 24.20	22.63 21.78	4.31 5.05	6.76 5.93	2.45 0.88	-0.74	40.7 72.4	5.66 8.85	
10	Lint yield (kg/ha)	E ₁ E ₂	49.80 49.90	264.8 188.3	163.50 102.34	45.89 41.34	45.95 41.45	0.06 0.11	-4.55	99.7 99.4	94.42 84.93	
11	Seed cotton yield kg/ha)	E ₁ E ₂	150.50 155.10	814.8 567.1	493.26 311.01	46.79 40.70	46.80 40.74	0.01 0.04	-6.09	99.9 99.8	96.36 83.78	

Table 1: Genetic parameters of variation for E_1 (Konanki) and E_2 (Uppugunduru) environments.

IMRF
Journals

S.No	Source	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	Plant height (cm)	-0.0808	0.8035**	0.8259**	0.5390**	0.2419	0.2291	-0.1740	0.6057**	0.8453**	0.8530**
2	No. of monopodia		-0.0490	-0.2091	-0.0207	0.3616*	0.3695*	-0.3142	0.1310	-0.1210	-0.1077
3	No. of sympodia			0.8576**	0.6491**	0.2609	0.2478	-0.3599*	0.6073**	0.9386**	0.9433
4	No. of bolls per plant				0.6849**	0.1516	0.0845	-0.2364	0.4634**	0.9328**	0.9284**
5	Boll weight (g)					0.4046*	0.3366	-0.4214*	0.5239**	0.7214**	0.7334**
6	Seed index (g)						0.8201**	-0.5606**	0.4533**	0.2438	0.2795
7	Lint index (g)							-0.5880**	0.5259**	0.1823	0.2281
8	Ginning out turn (%)								-0.4883**	-0.2912	-0.3148
9	Mean halo length (mm)									0.5757**	0.5943
10	Lint yield (kg/ha)										0.9979**
11	Seed cotton yield										
	(kg/ha)										
		ic correlation	coefficients a	among differe	ent yield and	its componen	t traits in <i>Go</i> s	ssypium herbac	ceum in E ₂ (Up	pugunduru)	
S.No	Table 2b: Phenotyp	ic correlation 2	coefficients a	among differe 4	ent yield and 5	its componen 6	t traits in <i>Go</i> : 7	ssypium herbac 8	2.000 <u>reum in E2</u>	pugunduru) 10	11
S.No	Table 2b: Phenotyp										11 0.2650
1	Table 2b: Phenotyp Source	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1 2	Source Plant height (cm)	2	3 0.5775**	4 0.1926	5 0.3827*	6 0.0341	7 -0.0340	8 0.2266	9 0.3217	10 0.2673	0.2650 0.2664
1 2 3	Table 2b: PhenotypSourcePlant height (cm)No. of monopodia	2	3 0.5775**	4 0.1926 0.2563	5 0.3827* 0.2770	6 0.0341 0.0353	7 -0.0340 -0.2839	8 0.2266 -0.0017	9 0.3217 0.1724	10 0.2673 0.2672	0.2650 0.2664 0.6974**
1 2 3 4	Table 2b: PhenotypiSourcePlant height (cm)No. of monopodiaNo. of sympodia	2	3 0.5775**	4 0.1926 0.2563	5 0.3827* 0.2770 0.5747**	6 0.0341 0.0353 0.4088**	7 -0.0340 -0.2839 0.0582	8 0.2266 -0.0017 0.0795	9 0.3217 0.1724 0.6640**	10 0.2673 0.2672 0.6942**	0.2650 0.2664 0.6974** 0.9453**
1 2 3 4 5	Table 2b: PhenotypSourcePlant height (cm)No. of monopodiaNo. of sympodiaNo. of bolls per plant	2	3 0.5775**	4 0.1926 0.2563	5 0.3827* 0.2770 0.5747**	6 0.0341 0.0353 0.4088** 0.6378**	7 -0.0340 -0.2839 0.0582 0.2743	8 0.2266 -0.0017 0.0795 0.2924	9 0.3217 0.1724 0.6640** 0.6303**	10 0.2673 0.2672 0.6942** 0.9453**	0.2650 0.2664 0.6974** 0.9453** 0.5499**
1 2 3 4 5 6	Table 2b: PhenotypSourcePlant height (cm)No. of monopodiaNo. of sympodiaNo. of bolls per plantBoll weight (g)	2	3 0.5775**	4 0.1926 0.2563	5 0.3827* 0.2770 0.5747**	6 0.0341 0.0353 0.4088** 0.6378**	7 -0.0340 -0.2839 0.0582 0.2743 0.1510	8 0.2266 -0.0017 0.0795 0.2924 0.2012	9 0.3217 0.1724 0.6640** 0.6303** 0.5507**	10 0.2673 0.2672 0.6942** 0.9453** 0.5370**	0.2650 0.2664 0.6974** 0.9453** 0.5499**
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Table 2b: PhenotypSourcePlant height (cm)No. of monopodiaNo. of sympodiaNo. of bolls per plantBoll weight (g)Seed index (g)	2	3 0.5775**	4 0.1926 0.2563	5 0.3827* 0.2770 0.5747**	6 0.0341 0.0353 0.4088** 0.6378**	7 -0.0340 -0.2839 0.0582 0.2743 0.1510	8 0.2266 -0.0017 0.0795 0.2924 0.2012 0.0954	9 0.3217 0.1724 0.6640** 0.6303** 0.5507** 0.7356**	10 0.2673 0.2672 0.6942** 0.9453** 0.5370** 0.6393**	0.2650 0.2664 0.6974** 0.9453** 0.5499** 0.6427**
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Table 2b: PhenotypSourcePlant height (cm)No. of monopodiaNo. of sympodiaNo. of sympodiaNo. of bolls per plantBoll weight (g)Seed index (g)Lint index (g)	2	3 0.5775**	4 0.1926 0.2563	5 0.3827* 0.2770 0.5747**	6 0.0341 0.0353 0.4088** 0.6378**	7 -0.0340 -0.2839 0.0582 0.2743 0.1510	8 0.2266 -0.0017 0.0795 0.2924 0.2012 0.0954	9 0.3217 0.1724 0.6640** 0.6303** 0.5507** 0.7356** 0.4181*	10 0.2673 0.2672 0.6942** 0.9453** 0.5370** 0.6393** 0.2804	0.2650 0.2664 0.6974** 0.9453** 0.5499** 0.6427** 0.2941
S.No 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Table 2b: PhenotypSourcePlant height (cm)No. of monopodiaNo. of sympodiaNo. of sympodiaNo. of bolls per plantBoll weight (g)Seed index (g)Lint index (g)Ginning out turn (%)	2	3 0.5775**	4 0.1926 0.2563	5 0.3827* 0.2770 0.5747**	6 0.0341 0.0353 0.4088** 0.6378**	7 -0.0340 -0.2839 0.0582 0.2743 0.1510	8 0.2266 -0.0017 0.0795 0.2924 0.2012 0.0954	9 0.3217 0.1724 0.6640** 0.6303** 0.5507** 0.7356** 0.4181*	10 0.2673 0.2672 0.6942** 0.9453** 0.5370** 0.6393** 0.2804 0.3716*	0.2650 0.2664 0.6974** 0.9453** 0.5499** 0.6427** 0.2941 0.3536*
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Table 2b: PhenotypSourcePlant height (cm)No. of monopodiaNo. of sympodiaNo. of bolls per plantBoll weight (g)Seed index (g)Lint index (g)Ginning out turn (%)Mean halo length (mm)	2	3 0.5775**	4 0.1926 0.2563	5 0.3827* 0.2770 0.5747**	6 0.0341 0.0353 0.4088** 0.6378**	7 -0.0340 -0.2839 0.0582 0.2743 0.1510	8 0.2266 -0.0017 0.0795 0.2924 0.2012 0.0954	9 0.3217 0.1724 0.6640** 0.6303** 0.5507** 0.7356** 0.4181*	10 0.2673 0.2672 0.6942** 0.9453** 0.5370** 0.6393** 0.2804 0.3716*	0.2650 0.2664 0.6974** 0.9453** 0.5499** 0.6427** 0.2941 0.3536* 0.7396**

Table 3a: Direct and indirect effects of yield components on seed cotton yield in Gossypium herbaceum in E1 (Konanki)											
S.No	Source	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Plant height (cm)	0.0303	0.0006	0.0299	-0.0051	0.0100	0.0000	0.0102	0.0001	-0.0058	0.7826
2	No. of monopodia	-0.0025	-0.0079	-0.0018	0.0013	-0.0004	0.0001	0.0165	0.0003	-0.0012	-0.1120
3	No. of sympodia	0.0244	0.0004	0.0372	-0.0053	0.0121	0.0000	0.0111	0.0003	-0.0058	0.8689
4	No. of bolls per plant	0.0251	0.0017	0.0319	-0.0062	0.0127	0.0000	0.0038	0.0002	-0.0043	0.8636
5	Boll weight (g)	0.0164	0.0002	0.0242	-0.0043	0.0186	0.0001	0.0150	0.0004	-0.0050	0.6678
6	Seed index (g)	0.0073	-0.0029	0.0097	-0.0009	0.0075	0.0002	0.0367	0.0005	-0.0046	0.2257
7	Lint index (g)	0.0070	-0.0029	0.0092	-0.0005	0.0063	0.0002	0.0447	0.0050	-0.2366	0.1688
8	Ginning out turn (%)	-0.0053	0.0025	-0.0134	0.0015	-0.0078	-0.0001	-0.0263	-0.0008	0.0046	0.2696
9	Mean halo length (mm)	-0.0184	-0.0010	0.0226	-0.0029	0.0098	0.0001	0.0235	0.0004	-0.0095	0.5330
10	Lint yield (kg/ha)	0.0256	0.0010	0.0350	-0.0058	0.0134	0.0000	0.0081	0.0002	-0.0055	0.9258
Table 3b: Direct and indirect effects of yield components on seed cotton yield in <i>Gossypium herbaceum</i> in E ₂ (Uppugunduru)											
S.No	Source	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Plant height (cm)	-0.0140	-0.0002	0.0094	0.0094	0.0058	-0.0017	-0.0015	-0.0014	0.0081	0.2511
2	No. of monopodia	0.0010	0.0030	0.0044	0.0125	0.0042	-0.0018	-0.0123	0.0000	0.0043	0.2510
3	No. of sympodia	-0.0081	0.0008	0.0163	0.0295	0.0087	-0.0207	0.0025	-0.0005	0.0167	0.6521
-			1								

0.0489

0.0220

0.0312

0.0134

0.0143

0.0308

0.0462

Life Sciences International Research Journal Volume 1 Issue 1 (2014)

0.0068

0.0151

0.0047

0.0023

0.0030

0.0083

0.0081

-0.0322

-0.0156

-0.0505

-0.0380

-0.0048

-0.0372

-0.0323

0.0119

0.0065

0.0326

0.0433

-0.0045

0.0181

0.0122

-0.0018

-0.0013

-0.0006

0.0006

-0.0063

-0.0012

-0.0023

0.0016

0.0138

0.0185

0.0105

0.0046

0.0251

0.0184

0.8880

0.5044

0.6005

0.2634

0.3491

0.6887

0.9394

Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

No. of bolls per plant

Ginning out turn (%)

Lint yield (kg/ha)

Mean halo length (mm)

Boll weight (g)

Seed index (g)

Lint index (g)

-0.0027

-0.0054

-0.0005

0.0005

-0.0032

-0.0045

-0.0038

0.0008

0.0008

0.0001

-0.0009

0.0000

0.0005

0.0008

0.0098

0.0094

0.0067

0.0009

0.0013

0.0108

0.0113